Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/800,312	MARTINEZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	HO SHIU	2457
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>HO SHIU</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Reza Sadr Reg. # 63,292</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 December 2010	Time: <u>12:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1, 23-33, 35, 37-45, and 50-55		
Prior art documents discussed: Yogeshwar, Mundra, Goodwin		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/HO SHIU/ Examiner, Art Unit 2457	.pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
(1)	FF	a appropriate

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner placed a call to applicant's attorney to propose an examiner's amendment in order to place the case in condition for allowance. The applicant's attorney, Reza Sadr, Reg # 63,292 had given authorization for the proposed exmainer's amendment in order to place the case in condition for allowance.